

# EXHIBIT B10

1

2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
3 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
4 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

5  
6 IN RE GOOGLE DIGITAL  
7 ADVERTISING ANTITRUST  
8 LITIGATION

Case No. 20-cv-03556-BLF

Case No. 20-cv-08984-HSG

Case No. 20-cv-09092-BLF

Case No. 20-cv-09321-VC

Case No. 21-cv-00022-DMR

9 SWEEPSTAKES TODAY, LLC,  
10 Plaintiff,  
11 v.  
12 GOOGLE LLC, et al.,  
13 Defendants.

**ORDER ON MOTIONS TO RELATE  
AT ECF 55, 57, 61, 64**

14 GENIUS MEDIA GROUP, INC., et al.,  
15 Plaintiffs,  
16 v.

17 ALPHABET INC., et al.,  
18 Defendants.

19 STERLING INTERNATIONAL  
20 CONSULTING GROUP,  
21 Plaintiff,  
22 v.

23 GOOGLE LLC,  
24 Defendant.

25 MARK J. ASTARITA,  
26 Plaintiff,  
27 v.

28 GOOGLE LLC, et al.,  
Defendants.

29  
30 Defendant Google LLC has filed several administrative motions asking this court to relate  
31 later-filed cases to the present case, *In re Google Digital Advertising Antitrust Litigation*, No. 20-  
32

1 cv-03556-BLF. *See* ECF 55, 57, 61, 64. Those cases are: *Sweepstakes Today, LLC v. Google*,  
2 *LLC, et al.*, 20-cv-08984-HSG, *Genius Media Group, Inc., et al., v. Google, LLC, et al.*, 20-cv-  
3 09092-BLF, *Sterling International Consulting Group v. Google LLC*, 20-cv-09321-VC, and  
4 *Astarita v. Google LLC, et al.*, 21-cv-00022-DMR. The Court has reviewed all the cases and finds  
5 that the proposed classes and market definitions share significant overlap to the present case such  
6 that relation is appropriate. As such, the Court REALTES the aforementioned cases to the above-  
7 captioned case.

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9 Dated: January 22, 2021



10  
11 BETH LABSON FREEMAN  
United States District Judge